A simple method of incorporating the effect of the Uniform Stress Hypothesis in arterial wall stress computations
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Purpose: Residual stress has a great influence on the mechanical behaviour of arterial wall. Numerous research groups used the Uniform Stress Hypothesis to allow the inclusion of the effects of residual stress when computing stress distributions in the arterial wall. Nevertheless, the available methods used for this purpose are very computationally expensive, due to their iterative nature. In this paper we present a new method for including the effects of residual stress on the computed stress distribution in the arterial wall.

Methods: The new method, by using the Uniform Stress Hypothesis, enables computing the effect of residual stress by averaging stresses across the thickness of the arterial wall.

Results: Being a post-processing method for the computed stress distributions, the proposed method is computationally inexpensive, and thus, better suited for clinical applications than the previously used ones.

Conclusions: The resulting stress distributions and values obtained using the proposed method based on the Uniform Stress Hypothesis are very close to the ones returned by an existing iterative method.
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1. Introduction

This paper presents an efficient method to include the effects of Residual Stress (RS) in patient specific arterial wall stress calculations. Arterial wall stress calculations based on patient specific biomechanics have been proposed by many researchers for applications such as rupture risk analysis in aortic abdominal aneurisms (AAA). Biomechanics-derived criteria, such as Peak Wall Stress, may constitute accurate predictors of arterial wall rupture [1], [2]. The potential value of such biomechanics-based approaches was explored in a recent review [3].

RS in arterial walls and its effect on the biomechanical response have been well documented [4]–[7]. Its importance with respect to the accurate stress computation is correspondingly clear. The significant circumferential component of RS in many vessels was demonstrated, for example, in opening angle tests [8].

Various approaches to incorporating RS into finite element simulations have been proposed. Raghavan et al. [9] used opening angle measurements by creating FE models of idealised and real arteries in “opened” (cut) configurations, then computing the wall stresses that result from closing the samples into rings. Balzani et al. [10] used a similar open-to-closed ring simulation approach and transferred the resulting nodal
coordinates and deformation gradient into a subsequent simulation step involving physiological loads. There is evidence in the literature that the RS may vary between the different arterial wall layers [8], and that a longitudinal pre-stress is also present [11]. Nevertheless, such information is of limited use in patient-specific stress computations, as these parameters vary greatly between individuals [11] and cannot be determined using non-invasive techniques.

In order to assess wall stress in patients, non-invasive estimation of RS is required, and techniques like opening angle tests are clearly excluded. Ambrosi et al. [12] have developed an axisymmetric analytical model of arteries with RS incorporated through a growth tensor based on thermodynamical arguments. Polzer et al. [13] proposed an algorithm for patient-specific RS estimation based on the assumption that remodelling-derived RS results in an even stress distribution across the vessel wall, according to the Uniform Stress Hypothesis (USH). Their algorithm estimates residual strains iteratively for a patient-specific artery at given times, using a staggered two-field solution approach based on the concept of isotropic volumetric growth. More recently, a different staggered method was proposed [14], in which the USH was again assumed, but rather than by residual strains, the RS was estimated iteratively by updating a RS tensor in the loaded configuration.

In the present work, we propose a new method for incorporating the effects of RS (based on the USH), that is considerably less computationally-expensive, but with accuracy similar to the method of Polzer et al. [13]. Given the stress distribution they found for a cylindrical artery, we assume that the RS acts to evenly distribute bending stresses across the arterial wall thickness (Fig. 1). We do not attempt to compute the RS itself, but instead modify the wall stress field so as to reflect the presence of RS on the arterial wall stress distribution. Combined with recently reported efficient techniques for estimating wall stress [15], [16], the proposed approach achieves fast solution and requires only standard clinical data as inputs (Computer Tomography-Angiography and blood pressure measurements).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Hypothesis regarding influence of residual stress on the stress distribution in the arterial wall

The uniform stress hypothesis (USH) states that vascular tissue remodels itself towards a preferred stress-strain state, which, in turn, leads to homogenization of stress components across the wall [17]. An experimental study that supports the USH is that of Lu et al. [18], who introduced a unit step change in blood flow in rat femoral arteries to investigate the effect on wall remodeling. They found that greater growth in the vessel outer wall, compared to in the inner wall, resulted in a decrease in wall opening angle, which is consistent with non-uniform remodeling in the USH.

2.2. Model creation

The present study used anonymized data from seven patients that underwent Computer Tomography-Angiography (CT-A) of the aorta at St. Anne’s University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic, at an in-plane resolution of 0.5 mm and a slice thickness of 3 mm. Deformable (active) contour models (A4research vers.4.0, VASCOPS GmbH, Austria) were used to reconstruct the 3D geometry of AAAs from CT data [19]. After aneurysm segmentation, Stereo Lithography (STL) files representing the AAA’s geometry (luminal surface, exterior surface, and wall-ILT interface) were exported to ICEM CFD (Ansys Inc., US) for FE mesh generation. The aneurysm wall was meshed with tri-linear hexahedral elements (element type SOLID 185, surface element size of 3 mm, four elements across the thickness) while the ILT was meshed with linear tetrahedral elements (element type SOLID 285, element size of 3 mm). The wall thickness was assumed homogeneous with and assigned a value of 2 mm. Mesh generation required significant manual interaction and took four to eight hours for each case. Sectional views of the meshes are shown in Fig. 2 and their size is presented in Table 1.
2.3. Initial estimation of wall stress

The CT-A images record the aorta at pulsatile blood pressure, and therefore do not reflect AAA zero-pressure geometry, required for FE computation. A patient-specific mean arterial pressure (MAP = 1/3 systolic pressure + 2/3 diastolic pressure) was used to calculate the zero-pressure configuration using the backward incremental method [21], recently modified in paper [22]. Successive intermediate reference configurations were constructed by subtracting the computed FE-mesh nodal displacements from the previous reference configuration, i.e., until the pressure-loaded model matched the CT-A-recorded geometry within chosen tolerance. The stress distribution (without considering residual stress effects) was obtained as a consequence of computing the zero-pressure configuration.

2.4. Proposed new method of incorporating residual stress effects in the artery wall stress estimation

The proposed method aims to simplify the existing iterative approaches and replace them with a simple single-step calculation. Considering the wall cross-section shown in Fig. 1, in the absence of any RS, the stress along the wall thickness has two components: the hoop stress, created by the hoop forces, and the bending stress, generated by the bending moments. The average bending stress along the wall thickness is equal to zero (as it is created by moments). According to the USH, remodeling processes will impart a RS within the unloaded wall, so that, when loaded, the stresses are uniform across the wall thickness. At the same time, the equilibrium of forces must be satisfied, therefore, the internal wall forces created by this constant stress must be the same as the hoop forces obtained without the inclusion of RS (since with or without RS, the latter still reflect static equilibrium of the AAA with respect to the circulatory pressure loading):

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \sigma \, dr = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \sigma(r) \, dr .$$  (1)
Therefore, to compute the constant stress $\bar{\sigma}$ according to the USH, the stresses $\sigma$ found using the procedure in Section 2.3 (without considering RS) are averaged across the vessel wall according to

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \sigma(r) dr,$$  

where $T = R_2 - R_1$ is the wall thickness and $\sigma(r)$ is the stress component being averaged, which is a function of the radial coordinate $r$.

With more complicated 3D geometry, the above equations do not really apply. Nevertheless, under the assumption that the AAA wall is relatively thin, the hoop stress is the main stress occurring in the wall, and potentially is the one responsible for the wall rupture. Therefore, we make the assumption that the principal stress directions have the same orientation across the thickness of the wall and apply Eq. (2) to the maximum principal stress (MPS) component to find the value of the maximum wall stress under the USH. The stresses are evaluated for each node of the external surface of the arterial wall discretization for the purpose of visualization and comparison to other methods.

To obtain an accurate value of the average stress, the integral term in (2) is computed as a sum of piecewise integrals evaluated on several smaller sub-intervals of the wall thickness, so

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{M_{k-1}}^{M_k} \sigma(r) dr,$$  

where $M_k$ is the coordinate of the outer boundary of interval $k$ (Fig. 3), and $n$ is the number of sub-intervals. We use equal-sized sub-intervals, meaning their lengths are $\frac{T}{n}$, and boundary coordinates are given by:

$$M_k = \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} \right) R_1 + \frac{k}{n} R_2.$$  

On each sub-interval, a two-point Gauss rule is employed, yielding:

$$\bar{\sigma} \approx \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_k - M_{k-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sigma_i^k = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sigma_i^k,$$  

where $\sigma_i^k$ is the stress value at Gauss point $i$ within interval $k$. Gauss point coordinates in interval $k$ are obtained with standard interval scaling formulae:

$$G_i^k = (1-s)M_{k-1} + sM_k$$  
$$G_i^{k2} = sM_{k-1} + (1-s)M_k$$  

with the position of the points controlled by:

$$s = \frac{1 - \sqrt{3}}{2}.$$  

To enable evaluation of the results of the proposed new algorithm, we first estimated RS for each case, using the iterative and more computationally expensive algorithm proposed by Polzer et al. [13]. The RS effect on both Peak Wall Stress (PWS – defined as maximum value of the maximum principal stress) and stress distribution were evaluated and the results from each method were compared.

3. Results

Stress distributions for seven AAA cases with and without the inclusion of RS effects were analyzed. The latter were included using both the existing method of Polzer et al. [13] and the newly proposed one. In the new method, four sub-intervals across the wall thickness we used for accurate integration of stress. The stresses obtained from the FE analysis were extrapolated to the nodes of the mesh and then the stresses at the Gauss points used for stress integration across the wall were interpolated from these nodal values using algorithms from the Visualization Toolkit (VTK, available at www.vtk.org).
3.1. Assumption testing: MPS has the same direction across the thickness of the wall

Deriving of the new method, we assumed that the MPS has the same direction across the thickness of the wall. We tested this assumption by computing the MPS and its direction at all points of the mesh. We then studied the direction $D^e$ of the MPS on the exterior arterial wall as well as the scalar product between $D^e$ at a given point and the direction $D^i$ of the MPS at the closest point on the interior arterial wall:

$$SP = |D^e \cdot D^i|$$

Fig. 4. Assumption test: MPS has the same direction across the thickness of the wall. The arrows indicate the direction $D^e$ of the MPS on the exterior arterial wall. The color of the arrows indicates the value of $|D^e \cdot D^i|$ (red = parallel directions). The surface color indicates the value of the MPS (red = high stress)
This product has values of 1 or 0 if the two vectors are parallel or perpendicular, respectively.

The results, presented in Fig. 4, show that:

- The direction $\mathbf{D}^e$ of the MPS is tangent to the arterial wall, and, therefore, the MPS is the stress component responsible for wall rupture;
- On the most part of the arterial surface, the directions $\mathbf{D}^e$ and $\mathbf{D}^i$ are parallel, and therefore, integrating the MPS across the wall thickness is expected to be accurate. The regions in which $\mathbf{D}^e$ and $\mathbf{D}^i$ are not parallel are not in areas of high stresses.

### 3.2. Evaluation of the new method

The effect of RS on the wall stress distribution computed using the existing method is shown in Fig. 5. This method reduces the differences in stress between the interior and exterior walls of the AAA, but does not create a completely uniform stress distribution across the wall thickness.

Comparison of the results obtained using the existing method and the proposed method for handling

---

**Fig. 5. The effect of inclusion of the residual stress in an AAA analysis:**
maximum principal stress distribution without (left) and with (right) residual stress included.

The residual stress has been included using the existing method of Polzer et al. [13].

Intraluminal thrombus not shown

---

**Table 2. Peak wall stress (PWS) values obtained using the new method for RS inclusion, the existing method for RS inclusion, and without RS inclusion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>RS inclusion method</th>
<th>Difference [%]</th>
<th>No RS [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New method [MPa]</td>
<td>Existing method [MPa]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RS is presented in Fig. 6. The new method predicts very similar distributions and levels of stress. The differences in PWS were 8.2% on average, with a 4.2% standard deviation (Table 2). On most points on the arterial wall surface the stress differences are close to zero, as shown by the histograms in Fig. 6.

4. Discussion and conclusions

We developed a new method for including the effects of RS in FE analyses of arterial walls, based on the USH. The new method requires only the post-processing of a finite element analysis, making it very efficient computationally. To test the proposed method under the most demanding conditions, in our numerical experiments we used a highly non-linear material model, which produces large variations of stress across the wall thickness, and complex arterial geometry. The proposed method predicts similar stress distributions and values for the MPS as an existing iterative method, without the associated computational expense. Moreover, the predictions of PWS locations and magnitudes are similar between the two methods for all cases.

The comparative results obtained with and without the inclusion of RS highlight the influence of RS inclusion on both the distribution and value of the wall stress. The inclusion of RS leads not only to a significant reduction in the maximum stress value, but also to a different location for the maximum stress areas. Therefore, the inclusion of RS has a great influence on AAA rupture prediction.

The proposed method is based on the hypothesis that the MPS has the same direction across the thickness of the wall. We tested this hypothesis on the analyzed cases and demonstrated that it holds for the
most part of the wall surface, even for complex geometries such as AAA.

While the proposed method is very fast in predicting the influence of RS on the MPS in the arterial wall, it has some limitations compared to other methods. Because it is based on averaging of MPS in the arterial wall, the new method does not actually compute the values of the RS or all the components of the wall stress. Therefore, it...
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does not provide a complete picture of the wall stress distribution under the influence of RS and cannot be used to perform simulations such as estimation of opening angle, artery inflation, or extraction of the unloaded geometry. Nevertheless, the method is very useful in applications where the maximum principal stress in the AAA wall is needed, such as the estimation of rupture potential index for an AAA, when coupled with a fast method for AAA stress evaluation procedure [15].
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